

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/750,002	12/30/2003	Hans-Christoph Rohland	6570P009	9951		
45062 7590 10/21/2008 SAP/BSTZ.			EXAM	EXAMINER		
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			ALI, FARHAD			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2446			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			10/21/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/750,002	ROHLAND ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
FARHAD ALI	2446		

	FARHAD ALI	2446	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
 Al The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ()	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(TINOT KELET WAS TI	LLD WITHIN 1440
Extensions of fime may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended and the state of the state	ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since a
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NO) w);	TE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. \(\bar{\text{\text{\$N\$}}} \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) ploteds to: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1.25. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
Draw affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> it or other evidence is	be entered necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 		•	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Jeffrey Pwu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2446	/Farhad Ali/ Examiner, Art Unit 2446		

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant has argued that the reference Cullen et al. does not teach a message server that does not miniatinia a persistent state. The examiner respectively disagrees for the same reasons presented in the final office action dated 04/14/2008. Regarding the further clarification requested by the applicant, the examiner would like to assert the following. The test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference apple bod by incorporated into the structure of the primary reference, nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981). Cullen clearly teaches that it is known to utilize a message server with a persistant state when regarding more critical data exchanges where messages are "gauranteed" by storing than in persistant storace in the background of the invention (See paragraph [0004]). Cullen also discloses in the same paragraph that some messages on to require this form of reliable transmission. In one embodiment of Cullen's invention, if a message is not generated and/or reviewed during the default interval, the message is not delivered to a persistant storage. Cullen teaches that only gauranteed and/or message option is a feature of Cullen's tax and in the art at the time of invention. The guaranteed message is not open message or or or ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention.